OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

Pursuant to the Court's April 10, 2017 Preliminary Approval Order (ECF No. 44) ("Preliminary Approval Order"), Plaintiff Kelly Mergens ("Plaintiff") hereby respectfully submits this reply in support of Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval ("Motion for Final Approval") of the Class Action Settlement ("Settlement") and Motion for Approval of Class Counsel's application for Attorneys' Fees and Costs and Incentive Award to Class Representative ("Fee Application"). Both motions are unopposed. Further, the deadline for objections and opt-outs to the Settlement has passed, and there have been *no objections* and *no opt-outs*. *See*, Supplemental Declaration of David M. Birka-White in support of the Motion for Final Approval and Fee Application ("Supp. Birka-White Decl.") and Supplemental Declaration of Arnold Rodio in support of the Motion for Final Approval ("Supp. Rodio Decl.") submitted concurrently herewith.

II. THE SETTLEMENT SHOULD BE APPROVED, THE REQUESTED ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS APPROVED, AND FINAL JUDGMENT ENTERED.

Plaintiff timely submitted the Motion for Final Approval and Fee Application on June 30, 2017 (ECF Nos. 47 and 48). Consistent with the Court's Preliminary Approval Order, the Notice Provider and the Claims Administrator completed the Court approved Notice Program. The Program provided direct-mail notice of the Settlement to 16,350 Class members and approximately 105,793 third-party contractors who may have installed Flushmate Toilets. Notice was also disseminated to Class members through more than three dozen media outlets, and through the posting of the settlement notice on the interactive claims website.

See generally Declaration of Arnold Rodio in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval (ECF No. 47-2) and Declaration of Shannon Wheatman in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval (ECF No. 47-3). Both motions and all supporting exhibits were publicly posted at the dedicated settlement website shortly

after filing.

Pursuant to the Court's Preliminary Approval Order, Class members were provided until August 21, 2017 to request exclusion from the Settlement and to submit any objections to the Settlement, the request for attorneys' fees and/or any aspect of the proposed Settlement. (ECF No. 44, ¶ 12).

Class Counsel is pleased to report to this Court that no formal or informal objection, or other negative comment, has been timely submitted or filed regarding any aspect of the Settlement. *See*, Supp. Birka-White Decl., ¶ 2. Further, no Class members have "opted out" of the Settlement. *See*, Supp. Rodio Decl., ¶ 3.

Class Counsel have, in the Final Approval Motion and Fee Application, provided detail as to why the Court should approve the Settlement and why the requested attorneys' fees should be awarded. As noted in those pleadings, the Settlement provides complete relief to Class members, and all relevant factors considered in the Ninth Circuit weigh heavily in favor of approving the Settlement and awarding Class Counsel their requested fees.

Class Counsel will not repeat the points and arguments made in prior submissions. Worth nothing, however, is that the lack of any objections or opt-outs by Class members confirms the adequacy of all aspects of the Settlement. As this and other Courts have noted, the absence of objections weigh in favor of granting final approval and granting fees requested by class counsel. See *In re Toys "R" Us-Del., Inc. Fair & Accurate Credit Transactions Act (FACTA) Litig.*, 295 F.R.D. 438, 456 (C.D. Cal. 2014); see generally *Marshall v. Holiday Magic, Inc.*, 550 F.2d 1173, 1178 (9th Cir. 1977) ("The small number of class members indicating their disapproval of the settlement, here only one percent, also indicates its acceptability."); *In re Transpacific Passenger Air Transportation Antitrust Litig.*, No. C 07-05634 CRB, 2015 WL 3396829, at *3 (N.D. Cal. May 26, 2015) (approving settlement with just one opt-out from a class of hundreds of thousands).

Accordingly, the Class's overall approval is a strong factor in supporting the 1 request for final approval and the award of the requested attorneys' fee and 2 reimbursement of costs. 3 4 III. **CONCLUSION** Therefore, and for all the reasons articulated in Plaintiff's prior submissions, 5 6 Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court grant Plaintiff's motions for final approval, attorneys' fees and costs, and incentive award to the Class 7 Representative, and enter the revised [Proposed] Final Order and Judgment and the 8 revised [Proposed] Order Regarding Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Reimbursement of 9 Expenses, and Incentive Award to Class Representatives attached hereto as 10 Exhibits 1 and 2, respectively. 11 12 Dated: September 1, 2017 Respectfully submitted, 13 **BIRKA-WHITE LAW OFFICES** 14 By: /s/ David M. Birka-White David M. Birka-White 15 David M. Birka-White (State Bar No. 85721) 16 dbw@birka-white.com Mindy M. Wong (State Bar No. 267820) mwong@birka-white.com 17 BIRKA-WHITE LAW OFFICES 18 65 Oak Court Danville, CA 94526 19 Telephone: (925) 362-9999 Facsimile: (925) 362-9970 20 21 Robert J. Nelson (Cal. Bar No. 132797) rnelson@lchb.com 22 LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP 23 275 Battery Street, 29th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3339 24 Telephone: (415) 956-1000 25 Facsimile: (415) 956-1008 26 Attorneys for Plaintiff KELLY MERGENS 27 28 Case No. 2:16-cv-05255-SJO-SK

Subject: Activity in Case 2:16-cv-05255-SJO-SK Kelly Mergens v. Sloan Valve Company, et al Reply (Motion related)

Date: Friday, September 1, 2017 1:19:32 PM

This is an automatic e-mail message generated by the CM/ECF system. Please DO NOT RESPOND to this e-mail because the mail box is unattended.

NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing. However, if the referenced document is a transcript, the free copy and 30 page limit do not apply.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered by Wong, Mindy on 9/1/2017 at 1:18 PM PDT

and filed on 9/1/2017

Case Name: Kelly Mergens v. Sloan Valve Company, et al

Case Number: 2:16-cv-05255-SJO-SK

Filer: Kelly Mergens

Document Number: 50

Docket Text:

REPLY in support of NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION for Settlement Approval of FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT [47], NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION for Attorney Fees **and Costs, and Incentive Award to Class Representative**[48] filed by Plaintiff Kelly Mergens. (Attachments: # (1) Proposed Order Final Order and Judgment, # (2) Proposed Order Granting Attorneys' Fees, Expenses and Incentive Award, # (3) Declaration of David Birka-White, # (4) Declaration of Arnold Rodio)(Wong, Mindy)

2:16-cv-05255-SJO-SK Notice has been electronically mailed to:

David M Birka-White dbw@birka-white.com

Mindy M Wong mwong@birka-white.com

Steven H Frankel steven.frankel@dentons.com, diane.donner@dentons.com, docket.general.lit.sf@dentons.com

2:16-cv-05255-SJO-SK Notice has been delivered by First Class U. S. Mail