APPROVING CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

Following a hearing on April 10, 2017 ("Preliminary Approval Hearing"),
this Court entered its Order (ECF No. 44) (1) preliminarily approving class action
Settlement ¹ ; (2) certifying Settlement Class; (3) appointing Birka-White Law
Offices and Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein LLP as Class Counsel; (4)
appointing Kelly Mergens as Class Representative; (5) approving proposed Class
Notices; and (6) scheduling a final Fairness Hearing to determine (a) whether the
terms and conditions of the Settlement in this Action filed with this Court on March
1, 2017 (ECF No. 33-1, Exhibit A), is fair, reasonable, adequate, free from
collusion, and in the best interests of the Class; (b) whether the Settlement should
be finally approved by the Court; and (c) to consider an application by Class
Counsel for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses, and Incentive Awards for the
Class Representative ("Preliminary Approval Order").
After due process notice to the Class, the Court held the final Fairness
II ' C / 1 10 2017 TI C /1 '1 1 (1) /1 1

Hearing on September 18, 2017. The Court has considered: (1) the memorandum submitted in support of Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Final Approval Motion"); (2) the declarations and exhibits submitted in support of the Final Approval Motion; (3) the memorandum submitted in support of Class Counsel's Application for Attorneys' Fees and Expenses and for Service Awards ("Fee Application"); (4) the declaration and exhibits submitted in support of the Fee Application; (5) the Settlement, the Plan of Allocation, the Notice Plan, the Class Notices and the claim forms; (6) the entire record in this proceeding, including but not limited to the memorandum in support of preliminary approval of the Settlement; (7) the oral presentations of Class Counsel, counsel for Defendant, and Claims Administrator Arnold Rodio at the Preliminary Approval and Fairness Hearings; (8) the Court's findings and conclusions set forth in its Preliminary

¹ Initial capitalized terms utilized herein have the same definitions as set forth in the Class Action Settlement Agreement and Release filed March 1, 2017 (ECF No. 33-1, Exhibit A) unless otherwise indicated.

1 Approval Order; (9) this Court's observations while presiding over this matter; 2 (10) the Joint Motion for Final Approval of Modifications and Settlement 3 Agreement and Plan of Allocation, the Memorandum of Points and Authorities in 4 Support Thereof ("Joint Motion") filed in the related action *United Desert* 5 Charities, et al., v. Sloan Valve Company, et al., Case No. 2:12-cv-06878 SJO 6 (SHx) pending before this Court ("Related Action"); (11) the declarations and 7 exhibits related to the Joint Motion; (12) the Amended Order Conditionally 8 Approving Modifications of Settlement Agreement and Plan of Allocation filed in 9 the Related Action (ECF No. 164); and (13) the relevant law. 10 Based upon these considerations, it is HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, 11 and DECREED as follows: This Final Order and Judgment ("Final Order") incorporates and 12 1. 13 makes a part hereof: (a) the Settlement, including the Plan of Allocation, the Notice 14 Plan, the Class Notices, and the claim forms and all exhibits thereto; and (b) the 15 Court's findings and conclusions in the Preliminary Approval Order. 16 2. All preliminary findings and conclusions in the Court's Preliminary 17 Approval Order are hereby made final. 18 3. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and parties to the 19 Action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1332(d)(2) and 28 U.S.C. §1453. This jurisdiction 20 includes, without limitation, jurisdiction to finally certify the Class for settlement 21 purposes under Fed R. Civ. P. 23(a) and (b)(3), finally approve the Settlement under Fed R. Civ. P. 23(e), and dismiss the Action with prejudice. 22 23 Α. **Certification of Settlement Class** 4. 24 The Settlement Class (or "Class") this Court previously preliminarily 25 certified in its Preliminary Approval Order is hereby finally certified for settlement 26 purposes under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and (b)(3). The Class consists of any Person 27 who owns or owned a Flushmate System or Flushmate Toilet installed in the United

28

States. Excluded from the Class are (1) Defendant, any entity in which Defendant

1	has a controlling interest, or which has a controlling interest in Defendant and
2	Defendant's legal representatives, assigns, and successors, and any retailers or
3	wholesalers of the Flushmate System or Flushmate Toilets, and (2) the judges to
4	whom this case is or was assigned and any members of the judges' immediate
5	families. Also excluded from the Class are (3) all Persons who have obtained a
6	judgment against Defendant with regard to the Released Claims on or before the
7	date of Preliminary Approval by the Court; (4) all Persons who, prior to
8	Preliminary Approval, received cash reimbursement from Flushmate, a division of
9	Defendant Sloan Valve Company, for property damage resulting from a Burst or
10	Leak in their Flushmate System or for installation of a Repair Kit, replacement
11	vessel or replacement toilet; (5) all Persons who have incurred damages as a result
12	of a Leak or Burst of a Flushmate System that occurred on or before July 15, 2012,
13	but who have not brought any civil action relating thereto on or before July 15,
14	2016; (6) all Persons whose Property previously contained, but no longer contains,
15	a Flushmate System or Flushmate Toilet, and have not experienced a Leak or Burst
16	of a Flushmate System or Flushmate Toilet, except Persons who replaced their
17	Flushmate Toilets in response to the Expanded Recall; (7) all Persons who formerly
18	owned Property that contained a Flushmate System, and did not experience a Leak
19	or Burst of a Flushmate System or Flushmate Toilet during their ownership; and
20	(8) all retailers and wholesalers of the Flushmate System.
21	5. Based upon Plaintiff's submissions and the record before this Court.

- 5. Based upon Plaintiff's submissions and the record before this Court, the prerequisites for a class action under Fed R. Civ. P. 23(a) and (b)(3) have been satisfied, in that:
- a. The members of the Class are ascertainable based upon the serial numbers affixed to the top of each Flushmate System.
- b. The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is impractical. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(1). There are approximately 453,000 Flushmate Systems encompassed in this Action which are installed nationwide.

23

24

25

26

27

1	c. This litigation involves common class-wide issues that would
2	drive the resolution of the claims absent the Settlement. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(2) and
3	(b)(3); Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Dukes, 131 S. Ct. 2541, 2551 (2011). Several
4	disputed issues are common to Plaintiff and the Class, including whether the
5	Flushmate System is subject to failure; whether the Flushmate System creates an
6	unreasonable safety risk; and whether Sloan knew or should have known that the
7	Flushmate System was allegedly defective.
8	d. The claims of the named Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the
9	Class. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(3). Typicality is satisfied because the conduct at issue is
10	alleged to have caused similar harm at the point of purchase to Plaintiff and the
11	Class.
12	e. The named Plaintiff is an adequate Class Representative and
13	possesses the same interests in the outcome of this case as the other Class members.
14	Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(4). The named Plaintiff — like all Class members — own or
15	owned one or more Flushmate Toilets. Accordingly, the Court finally appoints as
16	Class Representative Plaintiff Kelly Mergens.
17	f. Plaintiff's counsel have the qualifications and experience to
18	represent the Settlement Class. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(g). Accordingly, the Court
19	appoints as Class Counsel Birka-White Law Offices and Lieff Cabraser Heimann
20	& Bernstein, LLP.
21	g. Class Counsel and the Class Representative have fully and
22	adequately represented the Class in the Action, and in entering into and
23	implementing the Settlement, and accordingly have satisfied the requirements of
24	Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(4).
25	h. The Court also finds that common issues predominate and the
26	Settlement is a superior way to resolve this national controversy. Fed. R. Civ. P.
27	23(b)(3). The claims of fraudulent representations and omissions and other breaches
28	focus primarily on Defendant's conduct, and the economic loss claims share a

common damages measurement. Further, given the inefficiencies and difficulties in pursuing thousands of individual claims, the class mechanism is superior to any other for resolution of these common disputes.

- i. Therefore, the Court finally certifies the Class under Fed. R.Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(3) for settlement purposes only.
- j. In making these findings, the Court has considered, among other factors: (i) the interests of Class members in individually controlling the prosecution or defense of separate actions; (ii) the impracticability or inefficiency of prosecuting or defending separate actions; (iii) the extent and nature of any litigation concerning these claims already commenced; and (iv) the desirability of concentrating the litigation of the claims in a particular forum.
- k. These findings shall be vacated and have no preclusive effect in this or any other forum in the event this Final Order is for any reason (whether as a result of reconsideration, appeal, or otherwise) vacated and the Settlement is disapproved, or if the Settlement otherwise does not become Final or Effective for any reason, including without prejudice to Defendant's right to contest class certification.

B. <u>Class Notice</u>

- 6. This Court finds that the Claims Administrator and Notice Provider caused notice to be disseminated to the Class in accordance with the Notice Plan and the Preliminary Approval Order, and that notice was given in an adequate and sufficient manner and fully satisfies the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, including Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(2) and (e), the United States Constitution (including the Due Process Clause), the Rules of this Court, and any other applicable law.
- 7. The Court further finds that the Notice Plan was reasonable and provided due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all Persons entitled to receive notice. The Notice Plan complied with Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(2)(B) because it

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- was simply written and readily understandable; and a.
- b. was reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise Class members of (i) the pendency of this class action, (ii) their right to exclude themselves from the Class and the proposed settlement, (iii) their right to object to any aspect of the proposed Settlement (including final certification of the Settlement Class, the fairness, reasonableness or adequacy of the proposed Settlement, the adequacy of the Class's representation by Plaintiff or Class Counsel, and/or the award of attorneys' and representative fees), (iv) if they did not exclude themselves from the Class, their right to appear at the Fairness Hearing (either on their own or through counsel hired at their own expense), (v) the binding effect of the orders and Final Order and Judgment in this action, whether favorable or unfavorable, on all persons who do not request exclusion from the Class; and (vi) the website address for Class members to use to submit claims and/or to obtain additional information regarding the Settlement.
- 8. In addition, based on the submissions of the parties, the Court finds that on March 10, 2017, Defendant fully satisfied its obligations of providing Notice of the proposed Settlement to the public officials designated under the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1715, to receive such notice as set forth in the Settling Defendant's Notice of Compliance with 28 U.S.C. § 1715, filed March 13, 2017. (ECF No. 41.)

C. **Final Settlement Approval**

9. Plaintiff, by and through her counsel, have investigated the pertinent facts and law, and have evaluated the risks associated with continued litigation, class certification, trial, and/or appeal. The Court finds that the Settlement was

reached in the absence of collusion, is the product of informed, good-faith armslength negotiations between the parties and their capable and experienced counsel, and was reached with the assistance of a well-qualified and experienced mediator, the Honorable William J. Cahill (Ret.). The Court further finds that this litigation is complex and that if it were to continue through trial and potential subsequent appeals, it would likely be both contentious and protracted. The Court also notes that Defendant has asserted numerous defenses to the Plaintiff's claims and has vigorously denied any liability or damage, that Plaintiff's ultimate success in the litigation is not guaranteed, and that the risks of establishing liability on behalf of Class members are significant.

- 10. The Court finds that the Settlement confers substantial benefits upon the Settlement Class and avoids the costs, uncertainty, delays, and other risks associated with continued litigation, trial, and/or appeal. The UDC Settlement Fund shall be used to satisfy claims submitted by the Class. Should that \$18,000,000 common fund be exhausted before the end of the Claims Period, Defendant will deposit additional sums as needed to satisfy all valid claims submitted by the Class. The Claims Period will last for at least one year to enhance Class members' ability to obtain benefits from the Settlement. Moreover, the Settlement will promote public safety inasmuch as it is structured to maximize Class members' incentive to repair or replace their Flushmate Toilets by providing full reimbursement of all reasonable out-of-pocket installation and Property Damage expenses incurred.
- 11. The Court has been informed that *no objections* to the Settlement and *no opt-outs* were submitted in accordance with the requirements of the Class Notices and the Preliminary Approval Order. The Court has evaluated this overall reaction of the Class to the Settlement, and finds that the overall acceptance of the Settlement by Class members supports the Court's conclusion that the Settlement is in all respects fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of the Class.

- 12. Because the terms and provisions of the Settlement have been entered into in good faith and are in full compliance with all applicable requirements of the United States Constitution (including its Due Process Clause), the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the rules of this Court and any other applicable law, and are fair, reasonable, and adequate and in the best interests of the Class, the Court hereby finally approves the Settlement.
- 13. The parties and the Claims Administrator are directed to implement the Settlement according to its terms and conditions.

D. Administrative Matters

- 14. Concurrently with this order, the Court has entered an order in the Related Action finally approving modifications of the settlement agreement and plan of allocation. The UDC Settlement Fund shall be used to pay notice costs, the cost of administration of this Agreement, and settlement payments to Settlement Class Members in this Action (the "Mergens Settlement Class Members"). Additionally, if the UDC Settlement Fund is exhausted during the Claims Period, Defendant shall deposit additional sums into the UDC Settlement Fund to ensure all valid claims filed by Mergens Settlement Class Members during the Claims Period as set forth in Section IV of the Settlement. The Claims Period will last for one year.
- 15. Class Litigation Administration Support Services of Lancaster, California, is finally appointed to serve as the Claims Administrator as provided under the Settlement and Plan of Allocation. All reasonable fees, costs, and expenses of the Claims Administrator shall be paid as provided in the Settlement. The Claims Administrator is directed to begin processing all validly filed claims in accordance with Section V of the Settlement and the Plan of Allocation.
- 16. The Hon. William J. Cahill (Ret.) of JAMS, San Francisco, California, is finally appointed to serve as the Special Master as provided for under the Settlement and Plan of Allocation. All reasonable fees, costs, and expenses of the

Special Master shall be paid as provided in the Settlement.

- 17. This Final Order and the Settlement shall be forever binding on Plaintiff and each Class member, as well as their heirs, executors, administrators, and their respective predecessors, successors, representatives and assigns, or any and all of them, and those terms shall have res judicata and preclusive effect in all pending and future claims, lawsuits, or other proceedings involving the Released Claims in any state, federal, or territorial court, arbitral forum or other forum of any kind.
- 18. Plaintiff and each Class member, as well as their respective spouses, heirs, executors, administrators, representatives, agents, attorneys, subrogees, partners, successors, predecessors, and assigns, and all those acting or purporting to act on their behalf, is conclusively deemed to have fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished and discharged Defendant and the Released Parties from and against any and all liability for the Released Claims as set forth in Section VI of the Settlement.
- 19. Plaintiff and each Class member are barred and permanently enjoined from initiating, asserting and/or prosecuting any Released Claims which the Class member had, has or may have in the future against any Defendant or Released Party in any court, arbitration, tribunal, or forum of any kind. The Court finds that issuance of this permanent injunction is necessary and appropriate in aid of the Court's jurisdiction over this Action and to protect and effectuate this Final Order.
- 20. As set forth in the Settlement, Defendant has denied, and continues to deny, any wrongdoing or liability of any kind relating to the Action. Neither this Final Order, nor any provisions of the Settlement or any negotiations leading to its execution, may be construed as, offered as, received as, used as, or deemed to be evidence of an admission of any liability or wrongdoing of any kind on the part of Defendant and the Released Parties in the Action, or in any other judicial, administrative, regulatory, or other forum of any kind.

1 21. Without affecting the finality of this Final Order, the Court reserves 2 exclusive jurisdiction as to all matters related to administration, consummation, 3 enforcement, and interpretation of the Settlement and this Final Order, including, 4 without limitation, for the purpose of: 5 enforcing the terms and conditions of the Settlement and a. 6 resolving any disputes, claims, or causes of action that, in whole or in part, are 7 related to or arise out of the Settlement or this Final Order (including, without 8 limitation, whether a person or entity is or is not a Class member and whether 9 claims or causes of action allegedly related to the Action are or are not barred or 10 released by this Final Order and the Settlement); entering such additional orders, if any, as may be necessary or 11 12 appropriate to protect or effectuate this Final Order and the Settlement, or to ensure the fair and orderly administration of the Settlement; and 13 14 entering any other necessary or appropriate orders to protect and c. 15 effectuate the Court's retention of continuing jurisdiction. The Action is hereby dismissed with prejudice in its entirety, except to 16 22. 17 the extent that this Court has maintained continuing jurisdiction as stated in 18 paragraph 21 above. Except as expressly granted by this Final Order and as set forth 19 in the Settlement, it is adjudged that Plaintiff and all Class members take nothing 20 by reason of the Action against Defendant, and their claims are hereby dismissed 21 with prejudice. There is no just cause to delay appeal or enforcement of this Final 22 Order. 23 IT IS SO ORDERED. 5. Jame Otens 24 Dated: 9/18/17 25 THE HONORABLE S. JAMES OTERO 26 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 27